RAILROADED BY METHOD NEVER KNOWN BEFORE

with the paper adopted originally by

with the paper adopted originally by the subcommittee which worked on the proposition for six months. The provisions of the ordinance as recommended by the full committee, he said, are different in important respects.

"The subcommittee ordinance," by subcommittee ordinance, proceeded Mr. Pollock, "more fully protects the interests of the city, and its people than does that prepared by the Richmond and Henrico Raliway Company. It also safeguards to a degree the invested capital of the Virginia Raliway and Power Company. Probably no member of the Common Council save those on the Committee on Streets has read the ordinance."

Mr. Mills agreed to the Pollock amendment, but Mr. Gease protested. He did not think the Council wanted to go back to the work of the subcommittee, or to have the City Attorney pepare a new ordinance after twelve months of work, He was ready to vote, and wanted the matter either adopted or turned down.

City Attorney Pollard was sent for, and later appeared in the chamber with Mayor Richardson.

Giving ...way Millions.

Mr. Mills also argued for his motion, saying that never before had ne seen a called meeting act on a franchise when first presented from a committee. "Yet some members," he said, "are willing to give away privileges worth millions on a franchise when first presented from a committee. "Yet some members," he said, "are willing to give away privileges worth millions on a franchise when first presented from a committee. "Yet some members," he said, "are willing to give away privileges worth millions on a franchise with they have not even read. We owe some consideration to the people of this city. I have not deveninged on my own position, but if the Issue is forced to-night, I shall vote "no.

Kepiving to repeated questionings from Mr. Jones, Mr. Mills at last came out plainly and said that in h. s. judgment the ordinance was being rail-readed through the council.

The motion to table morely mean delay, said Mr. Umlauf. Ha wanted the City Attorney to explain the situation at once.

pointed out, has but two months to herve.

Mr. Hirschberg protested agains taking up the subcommittee report, but Acting President Pollard ruled that the Council had a right to print anything it wanted to.

Says Vote Not Controlled.

Mr. Ratcliffe assured the Council that the president of the Richmond and Henrico Railway had nothing whatever to do with his vote. He thought the men who signed the call for the meeting were not being treated properly, and that no delay should be had.

Main and Eighth Streets.

Main and Eighth Streets.

Main and Eighth Streets.

Main and Eighth Streets.

The stable set all the server and being required to asspend the roles. The Policies frontion was all the server and the roles. The Policies frontion was all the server and the roles. The Policies frontion was required to asspend the roles. The Policies frontion was required to assert the roles. The Policies frontion was required to assert the roles. The Policies frontion was required to assert the roles. The Policies frontion was required to assert the roles. The Policies frontion was required to assert the roles. The Policies frontion was required to assert the roles. The Policies frontion was required to assert the roles. The Policies frontion was required to assert the roles of the role of the

THAT NEW

you contemplated buying to-day should be bought here. The reasons for it: We show the best qualities, newest styles and largest assortment. We have prepared for to-day's selling.

Special Values

in excellent All-Wool Suits at \$12.50 and \$15.00; also extraordinary values at \$18.00 and \$20.00.

Special in the Boys' Department

\$5 SERGE

Norfolk and Knickerbocker Sults for boys, all sizes 7 to 17, fancy and plain blue serges, cassimeres, che-viots, worsteds, 46 and \$7 values; special,

SUITS, \$3.05. Guaranteed all wool and fadeless color Blue Serge Suits in Norfolk and Knickerbocker styles, dressy looking and good wearing, \$5 value; special,

\$5.00 \$3.95

SUITS, \$3.40.

\$3.40

Mothers' Friend Wash Suits

We are agents for this high class make that guarantees the colors of any suit bearing its label. All the new models, military or sailor collar Russian and Blouse Suits in linens, ducks, repps and other high class materials on special sale to-day.

Choice	of	all	\$1.00	Suits			 	 		бос
Choice	of	all	\$1.50	Suits.			 	 		98c
Choice	of	all	\$2.00	Suits.			 		 	\$ 1.38
Choice	of	all	\$2.50	Suits			 	 	 	\$ 1.65
Choice	of	all	\$3.00,	\$3.50	Sı	iits			 	\$ 1.08
			III out						07:52	

BURK & COMPANY, Company has a capital stock of the company are stated in the petition for the appointment of receivers.

Main and Eighth Streets.

Greatest Offer Any Tailor Ever Made In Mid-Season

You Can Afford To Order Two Suits At This Price

Every suit we make, whether you pay \$12.50 or \$40, is GUARANTEED to fit. Every piece of goods we use is TESTED and COLD-WATER SHRUNK. All coats made with hair-cloth and canvas fronts so they NEVER LOSE THEIR SHAPE. STYLES always upto-date. That's why our suits never shrink or curl, wear longer and look well as long as

Looking for a \$15 Suit? Get It Here for C

Better goods, better tailoring, more varieties, better styles.

BLUE SERGE, guaranteed all wool, fast color. Coat and

If the color fades bring the suit back and get your money or a new suit. That guarantee applies to all our serges, and we have them from \$12.50 up to \$30.

you wear them. FOUR HUNDRED STYLES, \$12.50 up to \$40.

include such provisions therein as will insure fair competition and protect the capital invested in this com-

L. F. BARNES, BRANCH, CABELL & CO. RECEIVERS APPOINTED

Insolvency of Alabama Consolidated Coal and Iron Company Alleged.
Trenton, N. J., June 21.—Chancellor Walker, in the Court of Chancery, to day appointed Harrison S. Natthews, of Birmingham, Ala.; Hallsey W. Barrett, of Newark, N. J. and Harry Coffin, of Harrison, N. J. as receivers for the Alabama Consolidated Coal and Iron Company.
The application for the appointment of receivers was made by the American and British Manufacturing Company, of New York, alleging insolvency. The Alabama Consolidated Coal and Iron Company has a capital stock of \$750.00.

Employe of Lumber Plant Near Meherrin Meets Fearful Death.

Meherrin, Va., June 21.—George E.
Clark, a young man employed at the
lumber plant of H. J. Winston, near
here, was caught by the carriage of
the mill this afternoon and thrown
across the saw, which was running at
full speed, and his body was almost
cut in half. One of his lower limbs
was severed from his body and the
foot from the other was also cut off.
He died about half an hour after the
accident and before medical attention
could be given him. He was about
twenty-one years of age, and resided
with his father near here.

BATTLE WITH ROBBERS.

Two Citizens Wounded When Fifty
Nots are Exchanged.

Durant, Okla., June 21.—Scores * of
armed mon from Bokchito, Durant and
McAlester are scouring the country
for bandits who robbed the First State
Bank of Bokchito to-day and, in an
hour's battle with citizens, probably
fatally wounded Robert Kelly and
seriously hurt Dudley Moreland. Hundreds of shots were exchanged.

McAlester, Okla., June 21.—The exdosion of eight charges of dynamite
used by five robbers to crack the safe
of the First State Bank of Bockhito,
Okla., near here, to-day aroused resilents of the town. Hastily arming
hemselves, the citizins gave battle
o the robbers. More than fifty shots
were exchanged, but the bandits estaped with cash estimated at \$3,000.
Two citizens were wounded.

JACK JOHNSON INDICTED,

Pugilist and Wife Accused of Smug-gling Diamond Neckinee. Chicago, June 21.—Jack Johnson, champion heavyweight pugilist, and his wife, Dita Johnson, were indicted by the Federal grand jury to-day for smuggling a diamond necklace, bought abroad.

abroad.
Several unsuccessful attempts were made by the puglist to settle the case by the payment of part of the duty and penalty. The necklace is valued at \$3,000, and with the penalty the amount due the government roaches \$5,000.

TO THE PUBLIC

In the bulletin published in yesterday's papers, the Richmond & Henrico Railway Company states that no effort has ever been made to sell out the property and franchises of that company to the Virginia Railway & Power Company and challenges a denial of the statement.

We have not regarded business negotiations as a proper subject for public discussion and, therefore, have not noticed the statements before the committee, but this challenge

makes a statement of the facts necessary.

The present management of the Virginia Railway & Power Company knows nothing of what took place prior to January 1st, 1903, though we understand that some negotiations were carried on between the Fisher interests and those owning the Richmond & Henrico franchise for sale and pur-

chase of the franchise. Between January, 1903, when the present interests came into control of this property, and the reorganization of the properties on July 1st, 1909, Mr. Gould was approached in New York a number of times by brokers and others claiming to be authorized to sell the Richmond & Henrico franchise and solicited to buy the same. He declined to consider the proposition upon the ground that if he bought this franchise

he would have to buy another in a very short time. In 1910, just before the construction of the Richmonds& Henrico Railway was begun, extended negotiations were carried on between Mr. Forbes, representing the Richmond & Henrico interests and Mr. Northrop and the other officers of this company. The negotiations were begun through the intervention of a mutual friend. A number of conferences were held with Mr. Forbes and a price was fixed by him, but the parties were finally unable to agree and the negotiations fell through. Since that date, and even within the last two weeks, Mr. Forbes has frequently stated to representatives of this company that the present controversy could have been avoided by our buying his property at the time that he offered the same and earnestly advised Mr. Northrop to do so and avoid the competition.

During the construction of the Richmond & Henrico Railway and since that time, different interests in this property have been approached on a number of occasions by persons claiming to be authorized to speak for the Richmond & Henrico interests with a view to negotiating a sale of the property to us. The price suggested has always been excessive and the suggestion has been declined.

Within the past two weeks, two of the representatives of this company have been separately approached by gentlemen of this city—one of them a member of the City Council asking for this special meeting, and the other formerly interested in the Henrico Company-to know if we would purchase this property. One of these gentlemen stated that he had talked with Mr. Forbes on the subject. The matter was discussed, but the price suggested was excessive and this company declined to consider it at that price.

It is nonsense to say that this property is not for sale. Every intelligent man realizes that one or the other company must buy the other out. The Richmond & Henrico people are not philanthropists. They are in the business to make money. If they can make money by selling out to the Virginia Railway & Power Company, they will do so. The Virginia Railway and Power Company realizes that if it pays a profit for this property it will have to buy somebody else out in a very short time. So long as franchises can be obtained and sold at a profit, the business can never be stopped.

The question at issue is solely one of price.
This latest bulletin of the Richmond & Henrico

Company also contains the intimation, if not the statement, that the present ordinance has been approved by the City Attorney. The opinion of the City Attorney submitted to the Street Committee thereon was as follows:

June 17, 1912.

Committee on Streets,

Richmond, Va.: Gentlemen: I have had under consideration the proposed amendments to the electric light franchise (Richmond &

Henrico Railway Company) now before the Committee, offered by Mr. Kelly at the last meeting. I have found great difficulty attached to some of the questions involved, but am of opinion as follows:

1. The blanket franchise proposed, surrendering all of the streets and alleys of the City of Richmond to the use and pany, will be, in my opinion, a valid franchise, although I have had very grave doubts

2. Subsection 2 of the proposed amendment is, in phraseology which, in my opinion, would enable the company to involve the City in litigation at every attempted exercise of the power intended to be reserved therein. It omits the provision for penalty and forfeiture upon failure or refusal to comply with this particular provision, and for these reasons it, in my opinion, not a satisfactory provision. The best form of franchise would undoubtedly require the naming of the streets and alleys desired to be occupied by the grantee. In the absence of naming the particular streets in the franchise, the interest of the City would be best served by naming successively particular portions of the territory of the city to be covered within a specified time.

I have prepared a substitute for Mr. Kelly's proposed (2) which would, in my opinion, reserve to the City the power sought to be reserved in that clause of the franchise. I think it necessary to add then even this substitute, if adopted, could be litigated by the grantee whenever an attempt was made to enforce it.

Very respectfully GEO. WAYNE ANDERSON, Assistant City Attorney,

Would any business man or corporation enter into a contract for fifteen years if its general counsel stated that the contract was of doubtful validity, was not in the best form of contract, and that if adopted it "could be litigated by the grantee whenever an attempt was made

Every business man knows that such an act would be folly. Why should the city do it?

Virginia Railway & Power Co.

Main Street